Bilateral Variation of Lateral Root of Median Nerve traversing through Coracobrachialis Muscle

ABSTRACT
An unusual bilateral variation of lateral root of median nerve piercing through coracobrachialis muscle is presented. Two nerve filaments to coracobrachialis muscle took unusual origin from medial cord, which crossed the axillary artery from medial to lateral side before entering the muscle. Variation of lateral root of median nerve may be clinically significant, as hitherto unreported entrapment neuropathy of median nerve along with musculocutaneous may occur at the arm level while passing through coracobrachialis muscle or it may be injured during any surgical intervention around shoulder joint. Nerves to coracobrachialis are at risk during unusual abduction of arm or surgical manipulation of axillary artery.
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INTRODUCTION
The nerves entering coracobrachialis muscle are usually musculocutaneous nerve and thin branches to coracobrachialis. These nerves are susceptible to injury during any surgical procedure involving coracoid process transfer or management of fracture dislocation of clavicle. Strenuous exercises, such as weight lifting or push-ups have been found to be associated with compression of musculocutaneous nerve because of its passage through coracobrachialis muscle.

CASE REPORT
During practical teaching for undergraduate students, on a 48-year-old embalmed male cadaver’s upper extremity, an aberrant course of lateral root of median nerve (LRMN) was noticed. For descriptive purpose, most inferior point of tip of coracoid process and tip of medial epicondyle were considered as reference points. All necessary measurements were taken with a precision caliper (Mitutoyo, Kawasaki Kanagana, Japan). Both arms were 30 cm in length.

Aberrant Course of Lateral Root of Median Nerve
On the right side (Fig. 1), lateral cord terminally bifurcated into musculocutaneous nerve and LRMN at the level of coracoid process. Lateral root of median nerve accompanied musculocutaneous nerve and both nerves coursed through the coracobrachialis muscle. Musculocutaneous and LRMN entered the medial surface of coracobrachialis 44.3 and 48.9 mm distal to coracoid process respectively. Lateral root after a short oblique intramuscular course emerged out of the muscle 88 mm distal to coracoid process and joined with medial root of median nerve 116.3 mm distal to coracoid process. Both lateral root and musculocutaneous nerves passed through the same plane and divided coracobrachialis into superficial and deep parts. Similarly on the left side, LRMN accompanied musculocutaneous nerve to pass through the coracobrachialis 55.6 and 51.5 mm distal to coracoid process respectively and emerged out of it as a single unit.
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Fig. 1: Anomalous course of LRMN through coracobrachialis on the right side; MCN: Musculocutaneous nerve; LRMN: Lateral root of median nerve; AA: Axillary artery; MRMN: Medial root of median nerve; MN: Median nerve; SupCB: Part of coracobrachialis superficial to MCN and LRMN; DCB: Part of coracobrachialis deep to MCN and LRMN
74.1 mm inferior to coracoid process (Fig. 2A). Lateral root of median nerve joined the medial root of median nerve 109.7 mm distal to coracoid process to form the median nerve. Both nerves traversed through different planes of muscle and divided the later into three strata: Superficial stratum – superficial to lateral root, intermediate stratum – between LRMN and musculocutaneous nerve, and deep stratum – deep to musculocutaneous nerve. During passage through the muscle no communication between two nerves was found. Fusion of two roots of median nerve was much inferior to usual level of fusion. Length of lateral root before piercing coracobrachialis muscle was 62 mm on the right and 61.4 mm on the left side whereas that of musculocutaneous nerve was 58.9 mm on the right and 64 mm on the left side. Both nerves entered coracobrachialis muscle in its lower third.

### Nerves to Coracobrachialis

On the left side, three nerve filaments to coracobrachialis muscle were observed. Two arose from musculocutaneous nerve and one from lateral cord, which unite anterior or lateral to the axillary artery.\(^1\) Abhaya et al\(^5\) and Butz et al\(^6\) reported cases of lateral cord of median nerve piercing the coracobrachialis muscle before its bifurcation into musculocutaneous and LRMN. However, they did not describe the course of two terminal branches of lateral cord within the muscle.\(^7\) In our case, the lateral cord terminally bifurcated into musculocutaneous and LRMN and both branches pierced the coracobrachialis muscle which is a rare feature. On the left side, these two nerves passed through the muscle in different planes, thus dividing the muscle into three parts: Superficial, intermediate, and deep parts. On the right side, both nerves traversed the muscle in the same plane, dividing the muscle into superficial and deep parts only. According to hypothesis given by Sannes et al,\(^7\) chemoattractants and chemorepulsants regulate in a highly coordinated site-specific fashion the fate of the developing axons. Any alterations in signaling between mesenchymal cells and neuronal growth cones or circulatory factors at the time of fusion of brachial plexus can lead to significant variations. This might have probably contributed or caused this rare variation of coracobrachialis muscle being pierced by LRMN bilaterally. Developmental anomalies once formed would persist postnatally.\(^5\)\(^9\) The knowledge of course and relations of nerves in relation to coracobrachialis is important, as any nerves entering this muscle is susceptible to injury during any anterior shoulder surgery that involves mobilization or retraction of muscle attached to coracoids process, like coracoid osteotomy, coracoid process transfer, transection, and rerouting of the muscle attached to coracoid process.\(^5\) Unaccustomed positioning...
of the upper extremity in abduction, external rotation, or extension during general anesthesia can stretch coracobrachialis, thus putting at risk any nerve piercing the muscle and may cause entrapment neuropathy. Injury to musculocutaneous nerve at coracobrachialis level secondary to heavy physical exercises or athletic training is a well-known phenomenon. Same mechanisms may lead to compression of LRMN with resultant weakness, atrophy, or sensory loss in median nerve territory of distribution. Simultaneous involvement of median and musculocutaneous nerves at coracobrachialis level is not known in clinical practice. Such variation might be the reason for involvement of both median and musculocutaneous nerves in entrapment neuropathy at the level of coracobrachialis muscle. Lo et al studied the distance between lateral cord and coracoid process and discussed its clinical relevance in arthroscopic surgery. The mean distance of lateral cord to coracoid process was 28.5 mm. Pan et al in 2008 observed the reduction of this distance with increase in arm abduction. In present study, the lateral cord was much longer on right (60.7 mm) than on left side (10.6 mm) and because of smaller length it terminated above clavicle on the left side. In our specimen, median nerve formation occurred at distal level than the usual. In mid-humeral approach of regional nerve block for hand surgery, such variation may result in inadequate analgesia.

In most of the cases of Kerr’s study, only a single branch to coracobrachialis was observed, however in other cases, branches varied from two to four; at least one branch originated from musculocutaneous nerve and other branches from some other part of brachial plexus. In another study, most commonly two branches were found; all took origin from musculocutaneous nerve. In the present study, three branches were seen bilaterally and the origin of branches differed on two sides. One on the right side and two on the left side arose from musculocutaneous nerve; remaining branches arose from the lateral cord on the left side and the medial cord on the right side. Origin from the medial cord was an unusual presentation which has not been reported in the literature. In our study, 1st branch entered the coracobrachialis muscle 32 and 26 mm distal to coracoid process on right and left sides respectively, which was much less than the standard safe zone of 5 to 8 cm. This finding has clinical relevance as high entry of branches into coracobrachialis may make it vulnerable to injury. Median nerve is most commonly compressed at forearm or wrist level. If its compression is suspected in arm, possibility of such variation of lateral root piercing the coracobrachialis muscle should be kept in mind.
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